



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and the attorney had several telephone conversations about the claims, including discussions of 112 first issues for "derivatives" and for compounds "X" and "M", and in addition regarding GenBank Accession numbers in the claims. Unfortunately, the Examiner had erroneously believed the instant case had priority to 2002 due to confusion with a related case. The Examiner left a message with the attorney on Mar. 27, 2009, indicating that in light of the priority date of March 17, 2004, it turns out that there is prior art that applies to the instant claims. The Examiner apologizes for this confusion..